



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(205)

RSA-1473-1997 (O&M)**Date of Decision:-08.05.2026****AMRIK SINGH**

... Appellant

Versus

**GRAM PANCHAYAT OF VILLAGE BEHLOLPUR, DISTRICT
KAPURTHALA THROUGH ITS SARPANCH-PIARA SINGH.**

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIRINDER AGGARWAL

Present: Mr. Rakesh Kumar, Advocate
for the appellant.

Mr. M.L. Saini, Advocate,
Mr. Mohit Kumar, Advocate
for Mr. N.P.S. Mann, Advocate
for the respondent.

VIRINDER AGGARWAL, J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the appellant submitted that he could not trace the appellant and as per information provided by the learned counsel of the trial Court, the appellant is no more. Cost imposed on the previous date has not been deposited. Learned counsel for the appellant pleaded no instructions. In such circumstances, appeal cannot proceed further.

2. As such, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the cases on the docket, indefinitely.

3. Accordingly, the instant appeal stands dismissed for want of prosecution with liberty to the appellant to revive the same, if have any grievance from this order, within a period of three months from today.

4. As a natural corollary, since the main case stands dismissed for want of prosecution of, all pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of, as no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping them pending.

08th May, 2026
Poonam

(VIRINDER AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned / speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes / No
Yes / No