



110 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-13488-2026
Date of decision: 12.03.2026

RAJNI

...PETITIONER

V/S

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA

Present: Ms. Divya Gulati, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Karan Veer Singh, Senior DAG, Haryana.

SUBHAS MEHLA, J. (ORAL)

1. Through the instant petition filed under Section 482 Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, prayer is for grant of anticipatory bail to the
petitioners in case bearing FIR No.0396 dated 08.10.2025 registered under
Sections 318(4) and 316(2) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (for short
'BNS') at Police Station Chandimandir, District Panchkula.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that in the present
matter, a compromise has been effected between the parties and the settled
amount has already been paid to the complainant; the petitioner is not required
for any recovery purpose or custodial interrogation; the petitioner is not
involved in any other case, except the present one; the petitioner is ready to
join the investigation and co-operate with the investigating agency. Moreover,
similarly placed co-accused (husband) Aakash Sharma was also granted the
relief of anticipatory bail by this Court passed in **CRM-M No.2911 of 2026**



CRM-M-13488-2026

2

vide order dated 02.02.2026. Hence, learned counsel prayed for relief of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

3. Learned State counsel appeared on advance notice and on instructions from ASI Sandeep, submitted that a compromise has been effected between the parties and the petitioner is not required for any custodial interrogation or recovery purpose.

4. Heard.

5. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case and contentions of learned counsel for the parties that compromise has been arrived at between the parties and the settled amount has already been paid to the complainant, and similarly placed co-accused (husband) Aakash Sharma was also granted the relief of anticipatory bail by this Court passed in **CRM-M No.2911 of 2026** vide order dated 02.02.2026, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is directed to join the investigation as and when called upon to do so. In the event of her arrest, the petitioner shall be admitted to bail on already furnished bail/surety bonds and shall also abide by the conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of the BNSS (*erstwhile Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.*).

6. Nothing observed hereinabove shall be construed to be expression of an opinion by this Court on merits of the case.

March 12, 2026
manisha

(SUBHAS MEHLA)
JUDGE

(i)	Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
(ii)	Whether reportable	Yes/No