



**COCP No.1065 of 2026**

**-1-**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

108

COCP No.1065 of 2026

Date of decision: May 7<sup>th</sup>, 2026

Gurmail Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

Vijay Singh Dhiya and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL**

Present: Mr. Nihal S. Choudhary and  
Ms. Anita S. Choudhary, Advocates  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Tarun Aggarwal, Additional Advocate General, Haryana  
and Mr. Raj Pratap Singh Brar, Assistant Advocate General,  
Haryana.

**VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)**

1. Learned counsel for the respondents has fairly submitted that in pursuance of order passed by this Court, the amount of ₹20,000/- as litigation expenses by way of demand draft has been handed over to the counsel for the petitioner, who has reiterated the said fact. It is further submitted on behalf of the respondents that against the judgment of the learned Single Judge of which the contempt is alleged, the respondents-State has filed an LPA i.e. LPA-1270-2026 and in the said case, as per instructions, notice of motion has been issued and interim order has also been passed in favour of the respondents.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in view of the same, the present contempt petition be disposed of but liberty be granted to the petitioner to revive the same/file a fresh contempt petition in case the abovesaid fact is found to be not correct or after the decision of the said LPA in



**COC P No.1065 of 2026**

-2-

case any cause survives.

3. In view of the above, the present contempt petition is disposed of with liberty aforesaid.

**May 7<sup>th</sup>, 2026**  
*Puneet*

**(VIKAS BAHL)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No