



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-6934-2026 (O&M)

Date of decision: 09.03.2026

Amanpreet Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

Prabhtej Singh Simba and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Divij Datt, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (Oral)

1. Through the instant writ petition, as cast under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, challenge is put to the order dated 23.10.2023 (Annexure P-1), whereby, the learned Executing Court has issued notice in the application preferred under Section 258 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, by the accused therein, for discharge.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the learned Executing Court has exceeded the scope of the decree, while entertaining an application preferred by the respondent-accused for its discharge.

3. This Court has heard the learned counsel for the petitioner.

4. *Ex facie*, the application in question is stated to be pending before the Court concerned, therefore, the issue of maintainability is yet to be adjudicated. Further, learned counsel for the petitioner could not point out any prejudice, which has been caused to the petitioner, as a



consequence of issuance of notice in the application and granting time to file reply to the other side.

5. In the facts and circumstances of the case, as referred to above, this Court is of the considered view that no interference, at this stage, is required with the impugned interim order. Accordingly, the instant writ petition is **dismissed**.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

09.03.2026
Ak Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	Yes/No