



128

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-6957-2026

Date of decision: 09.03.2026

Surinder Kaur

....Petitioner

Versus

The State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Divyanshu Goyal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Arora, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Hitesh Verma, Advocate
for respondents No.2 to 5-PSPCL.**HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)**

1. The present civil writ petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of *mandamus* directing respondents No.2 to 5 to compute and pay all arrears of family pension benefits of deceased-S. Jagtar Singh to the petitioner from the date of death of the deceased till date along with interest @ 12% per annum on account of delay caused. Further, for directing respondents to furnish to the petitioner a detailed break up showing calculation of family pension and any deductions.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he would be satisfied if the legal notice (Annexure P-1) of the petitioner is decided by respondents No.2 & 3 by passing a speaking order in a time bound manner.

3. Learned State counsel, appearing on advanced notice as well as counsel for respondents No.2 to 5 submit that they have no objection in case a direction is issued to respondents No.2 & 3 for time-bound consideration and

**CWP-6957-2026****-2-**

decision of the legal notice of the petitioner by passing a speaking order.

4. Therefore, in view of the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner, respondents No.2 & 3 is directed to consider the legal notice (Annexure P-1) of the petitioner and pass a speaking order, after affording her an opportunity to be heard and also the calculation chart be provided to the petitioner on the basis of which the family pension of the petitioner has been calculated, within a period of 03 months from the date of receiving a certified copy of this order. Further, the decision taken thereof shall be conveyed to the petitioner. Needless to say, if the petitioner is found entitled to the relief sought, the same shall be granted forthwith by respondents No.2 & 3.

5. Disposed of, accordingly.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

09.03.2026*Neha*

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No