



CRM-M-13671-2025(O&M)

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

110+219

CRM-M-13671-2025(O&M)

Decided on :16.02.2026

Rajender Singh

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Kushager Goyal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. P.K. Jhanda, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

1. Present petition has been filed under Section 483 of BNSS, seeking regular bail in case FIR No.731 dated 29.10.2024, under Sections 126, 309(4), 309(6) of BNS (Sections 311, 61 of BNS were added during investigation), registered at Police Station City Sirsa, District Sirsa.

2. As per the allegations in the FIR, complainant-Jasbir Singh Yadav, who was employed with Radiant Cash Management Company CE, stated that on 29.10.2024 at around 12:25 p.m., while he was travelling on a motorcycle bearing No. HR-24U-1735, having collected a total amount of Rs. 3,39,948/- from different Amazon stores, he was robbed after covering approximately 400 meters on Rangri Road. The robbery was allegedly committed by three young men standing by the roadside, armed with a wooden stick and a hockey, who took away the money bag.

When the complainant appeared in the witness box, he reiterated the allegations and identified the accused persons.

**CRM-M-13671-2025(O&M)****2**

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that a false case has been planted against the petitioner. Counsel for the petitioner further submits that, according to the deposition in Court, two of the accused, namely Jaswinder and Vinod, were already known to the complainant, which casts doubt on the prosecution story, as such snatching incidents are rarely committed by persons known to the victim. Counsel further contends that there exists a political rivalry, and therefore, the case has been falsely registered. Moreover, petitioner is in custody for the last 01 year, 03 months, and 12 days, having already undergone substantial incarceration without being held guilty.

4. Learned State counsel vehemently opposes the petition, submitting that the complainant has been examined and has fully supported the prosecution case. It is further submitted that out of a total of 15 prosecution witnesses, only 4 have been examined so far, and several important witnesses are yet to depose. The allegations pertain to the forcible taking of a substantial amount of money through a planned robbery, which is serious in nature and has created fear in the community. Learned State counsel further contends that the petitioner's claim of a false case and political rivalry is merely an assertion and cannot be taken as a ground for granting bail at this stage.

Considering the gravity of the offence, the ongoing trial, and the need to ensure the presence of the accused during the remaining proceedings, learned State counsel prays for the dismissal of the present petition.

**CRM-M-13671-2025(O&M)****3**

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have carefully perused the paper-book, along with the documents appended thereto, including the status report.

6. Considering that the complainant has already been examined, no other case is pending against the petitioner, his antecedents are clean, and he is in custody for the last 01 year, 03 months, and 12 days, the Court is of the view that the ends of justice would be met by granting him regular bail. It is also noticed that trial is likely to take considerable time, and continued incarceration at this stage would serve no useful purpose.

It is observed that during the investigation, only an amount of Rs. 40,000/- has been recovered at the petitioner's instance. Undoubtedly, the conduct of the petitioner will remain under scrutiny from time to time. It is also yet to be established whether the alleged incident actually occurred, and if it did, whether the petitioner was involved, particularly in view of the petitioner's submission that, according to the statement of the complainant Jasbir Singh, two of the accused, namely Jaswinder and Vinod, were already known to him.

Granting bail would also provide the petitioner an opportunity to reintegrate into society and pursue a normal course of life, thereby facilitating his rehabilitation. In view of the above, this Court finds merit in the petitioner's prayer.

Accordingly, present petition is allowed. Petitioner is ordered to be released on bail, subject to his furnishing bail/surety bonds

**CRM-M-13671-2025(O&M)****4**

to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/ Chief Judicial Magistrate/Illaqa Magistrate/ Duty Magistrate concerned, if not required in any other case.

7. Any of the discussion done and recorded hereabove, shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the facts of the case. Therefore, trial Court is expected to decide the case by taking an independent view, on the basis of evidence available on record, as expeditiously as possible in accordance with law.

8. It is further made clear that if, in future, the petitioner is found to be directly involved in similar activities, the prosecution would be at liberty to seek cancellation of bail.

9. Petition stands disposed of.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

16.02.2026***Rashmi***

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No