

**CWP-7143-2026****1****IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH****(111)****CWP-7143-2026****Date of Decision : March 10, 2026****Union of India and others****.. Petitioners****Versus****Col. M.K. Hooda and another****.. Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI**

Present: Mr. Sushant Kareer, Advocate, for the petitioners.
(joined through VC)

Ms. Roopan Atwal, Advocate, for respondent No.1.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

1. In the present writ petition, the challenge is to the order dated 21.05.2018 (Annexure P-1) passed by respondent No.2- Armed Forces Tribunal, Regional Bench, Chandigarh (hereinafter referred to as 'the Tribunal') in OA No.1413 of 2015 titled as Lt. Col. M.K. Hooda vs. Union of India and others. The order challenged is with regard to the grant of House Rent Allowance to respondent No.1.

2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submits that certain factors with regard to the entitlement of respondent No.1 have been ignored by the Tribunal while passing the order dated 21.05.2018 (Annexure P-1) and therefore, the said order may kindly be set aside.

3. Keeping in view the service of advance copy of petition, Ms. Roopan Atwal, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of respondent No.1.

**CWP-7143-2026****2**

4. We have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record with their able assistance.

5. It may be noticed that the State is challenging an order passed seven and half years ago and that too without any valid justification as to why, the same is being challenged now.

6. Further, in order to implement the impugned order dated 21.05.2018 (Annexure P-1), an execution petition was filed which was allowed and the order has already been executed as of now. Keeping in view the fact that the order impugned has already been executed coupled with the fact that the order is being challenged after a period of seven and half years, no ground is made out for any interference by this Court in the facts and circumstances of the present case as the present petition has already been rendered infructuous keeping in view the implementation of the impugned order dated 21.05.2018 (Annexure P-1) already.

7. Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

March 10, 2026
harsha

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No