



**144 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-9298-2026 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 27.03.2026

Hoshiyar Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and Others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Ms. Garima Jindal, Advocate and
Mr. Pankaj Gautam, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Akshit Pathania, AAG, Haryana.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondent No.4 to decide the complaint dated 15.01.2026 filed by him.

2. The petitioner claims that he is devotee of Baba Mukteshwari Matth. The respondent No.5 to 13 got registered one society in the name of Baba Mukhteshwari Aadarsh Society. They took over possession of assets of the Matth. The petitioner and jurisdiction BDPO filed petitions under Section 7 of Punjab Village Common Lands Act against unauthorized occupation of properties of the Matth. The Assistant Collector vide orders dated 09.02.2021 and 12.02.2021 rejected their claim. He preferred two appeals before Collector, Rewari which came to be dismissed vide orders dated 28.01.2025 and 06.05.2025. He filed revisions which came to be dismissed vide order dated 15.12.2025.

The Commissioner, Gurugram vide order dated 15.01.2025 directed

Deputy Commissioner, Rewari to appoint SDM, Kosli as Administrator of the Math. The respondents have created Trust vide deed dated 26.06.2023 and included assets of the Matth in their memorandum. He has filed complaints before Administrator for taking action against private respondents.

3. The petition stands ***disposed of*** with liberty to petitioner to point out irregularity, if any, committed by private respondents to SDM, Kosli who has been appointed as Administrator of the Matth.

4. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

27.03.2026
Prince Chawla

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No