



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

COCP-1012-2026

Date of decision : 25.03.2026

Karamjeet Singh Grewal

... Petitioner

Versus

Uma Shankar Gupta

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr.Vaibhav Sehgal, Advocate and
Mr.Umesh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Sandeep Singh, AAG, Punjab.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. Learned State counsel has submitted that in pursuance of the order passed by the Division Bench of this Court, a speaking order dated 17.03.2026 has been passed and it has been decided that the Gram Panchayat is not setting up the solid waste project at the land in dispute and a new site has been identified for setting up the solid waste project. A copy of the compliance report along with speaking order has been handed over to the Court during the course of hearing which is taken on record.


2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the said order has been rightly passed and is in accordance with law. It is however submitted that before the present order was passed, the Gram Panchayat had



substantially dug out the area and now there is a pit to the extent of 20' deep which could cause serious injury to the villagers and could also be a breeding ground for mosquitoes and collection of dirty water. It is thus submitted that in the said circumstance, liberty be granted to the petitioner to move a representation before the respondent for the purpose of reverting the said area to the same condition as it was prior to digging. It is submitted that a time bound decision be taken on the said representation in accordance with law.

3. Learned State counsel has very fairly submitted that in case a representation is moved by the petitioner on the said aspect, the same would be considered in accordance with law and speaking order would be passed by the respondent within a period of one month from the date of the receipt of the representation and in case the plea of the petitioner is found to be meritorious, then necessary action would also be taken in accordance with law.

4. Keeping in view the above said facts and circumstances, the present petition is disposed of. Liberty is granted to the petitioner to move a representation before the respondent on the grievance which has been highlighted by the learned counsel for the petitioner. The respondent is directed to consider the said representation if any and take a decision on the same within a period of one month from the date of receipt of the representation and in case the respondent finds the representation to be meritorious, then, necessary action be taken in accordance with law, as



expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of six weeks from the date of the decision on the representation.

March 25, 2026.
Davinder Kumar

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No