



RFA No.123 of 1998 (O&M)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

104

RFA No.123 of 1998 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 21.01.2026

MAHINDER SINGH

.....Appellant

Vs

STATE OF HARYANA & ORS

....Respondents

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA*

Present: Mr. Nayandeep Rana, Advocate
for the appellant.

Mr. Abhinash Jain, D.A.G., Haryana.

HARKESH MANUJA, J. (Oral)

[1]. By way of present appeal, challenge has been laid to an award dated 08.10.1997 passed by the learned Additional District Judge, Gurgaon (now Gurugram)-cum-Reference Court.

[2]. Briefly stating, some land owned by the appellant forming part of revenue estate of Village Farrukh Nagar, Tehsil and District Gurugram was sought to be acquired vide notifications dated 28.11.1978 and 04.12.1979 issued under Sections 4 & 6 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, (for short 'the Act') respectively, for public purpose, namely, construction of metalled road known as Dabodha Road. Award under Section 11 of the Act was passed by the Land Acquisition Collector (for short 'the LAC') on 25.02.1982, whereby market value in respect of the acquired land was assessed @ Rs.19395/- per acre for *Chahi* ; Rs.8400/- per acre for *Magda* land; Rs.9067.50 per acre for *Bhur* land and Rs.1520/- per acre for *Banjar Kadim* land besides granting solatium @ 15% per annum.



RFA No.123 of 1998 (O&M)

[3]. Being dissatisfied with the aforesaid award, the respondent/landowner filed reference petition invoking Section 18 of the Act, which came to be accepted by the learned Reference Court while increasing the market value of the acquired land @ Rs.1136.36 per Kanal (Rs.9093-38 per acre), along with all statutory benefits, under the 1894 Act.

[4]. Aggrieved thereof, the present appeal was preferred at the instance of appellant.

[5]. I have heard learned for the parties and gone through the paper-book as well as records of the case.

[6]. A perusal of the record shows that the only evidence relied upon by the appellant/landowner was the decision dated 10.06.1997 passed in LA Case No.35 which was produced on record as Ex.P-1. The said adjudication pertained to the same acquisition proceedings relating to the same very revenue estate of village Farrukh Nagar and the learned Reference Court while placing reliance thereupon, awarded market value @ Rs.1136.36 per kanal (Rs.9093.38 per acre) in favour of the appellant/landowner. No other material evidence in support of the claim set up by the appellant/landowner about grant of further enhancement of market value has been produced. In such circumstances, finding no illegality or perversity, no reason is made out for interference with the Award passed by the learned Reference Court. The present appeal is, therefore, dismissed being devoid of merits.

[7]. Pending application(s), if any shall also stand disposed of.

January 21, 2026
Atik

(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No