



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

139

CRM-M-12984-2026

Date of decision : 20.03.2026

HIMTAB SINGH ALIAS HAPPY

..... PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

..... RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA PARTAP SINGH

Present : Mr. Munish Puri, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Eklavya Darshi, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

SURYA PARTAP SINGH. J.(Oral)

1. This petition seeking for quashing of FIR has been moved on the ground that on the date when the occurrence had taken place, the petitioner was not present on the spot. A plea of alibi has been raised by the petitioner. However, this fact cannot be ignored that in order to establish above-mentioned plea, the only course available under the law is to prove the same before the learned trial Court, by adducing evidence. In view of the facts of present case the plea of alibi cannot be a ground for quashing of FIR.

2. At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is a young boy, who has a bright future, and that the present prosecution is creating hindrance in the progress of his career. He

has requested for a direction to the learned trial Court to decide the case in a time bound manner.

3. In view of above, the learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that he wants to withdraw this petition, and would take pleas before the learned trial Court. He has also requested to issue a direction to the learned trial Court to decide the case in a time bound manner.

4. In view of above-mentioned submissions the present petition is hereby dismissed as withdrawn.

5. However, taking into consideration the nature of offence, and the future of petitioner, the learned trial Court is hereby directed to pass the final verdict in the trial within a period of three months from next date fixed before the learned trial Court.

(SURYA PARTAP SINGH)
JUDGE

20.03.2026

vipin

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether Reportable	:	No