



103

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

COC-1096-2026

Date of decision: 30.04.2026

Amarjit Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Jagtar Singh

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Sunny K. Singla, Advocate and
Mr. Navdeep Jain, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Singh, AAG, Punjab along with
Mr. Armandeep Singh (Naib Tehsildar), and
Mr. Akshay Kumar Sharma (Reader), Tehsil Dudhan Sadan,
District Patiala.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the respondent-State, on instructions from Mr. Armandeep Singh (Naib Tehsildar), Mr. Akshay Kumar Sharma (Reader), Tehsil Dudhan Sadan, District Patiala, has submitted that as per the direction passed, the partition case was to be completed within three months from 30.01.2025. It is submitted that file with respect to partition proceedings was not available in the record and after thorough search, they have now been able to locate the file and notice has been issued to all the parties in the present case. It is submitted that the partition proceedings would be completed as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of three months from today.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in view of the same, the present contempt petition be disposed but respondent be bound by the statement made before this Court.

3. Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances, the present contempt petition is disposed of. Respondent would be bound by the statement made before this Court.

30.04.2026*Pawan*

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No
Whether reportable:- Yes/No