



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

164

CRM-M-12830-2026

POONAM SHARMA

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

DATE OF DECISION: 10.03.2026

DATE OF UPLOADING:10.03.2026

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: - Mr. Mohinder Kumar, Advocate for the petitioner.

.....

SUMEET GOEL, J (ORAL)

1. The substantive prayer made in the petition reads thus:-

“In view of the facts and circumstances referred above it is respectfully prayed that directions may kindly be issued to the respondent no. 2 & 3 to conclude the Enquiry into the Complaint no. 2184/Zone-I dated 06.06.2025 (Annexure P-) submitted by the petitioner, which is pending enquiry before the Assistant Commissioner of Police (A.C.P.) Detective-I, Ludhiana i.e. the respondent no. 3 since June 2025 i.e. for the last 8 months, expeditiously, and within some time frame, and to take appropriate legal action thereon, in accordance with law.”

2. At the outset, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that he may be permitted to withdraw the petition in hand with liberty to avail alternative remedy(s) as available in law including approaching the concerned Judicial/Illaq Magistrate for issuance of directions as per law.

3. Ordered accordingly.

4. There is no gainsaying that, in case the petitioner approaches the concerned Judicial Magistrate, his plea(s) shall be ratiocinated upon expeditiously.

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

10.03.2026

Jatin

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No