



145

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-8042-2026 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 17.03.2026

Resham

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and Others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Mr. Pankaj Dhingra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Akshit Pathania, AAG, Haryana.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking setting aside of order dated 15.05.2024 whereby warrant of attachment of property was issued. He is further seeking setting aside of order dated 24.07.2025 passed by Executing Court while executing judgment and decree dated 22.11.2016.

2. The petitioner is claiming that there was no decree passed by learned Civil Judge (Senior Division) against him. The judgment and decree dated 22.11.2016 related to respondents No.5 and 6. The decree holder filed execution application which was disposed of vide order dated 24.07.2025 on the ground that decree is fully satisfied. In the process of execution of decree, the petitioner's house was attached and thereafter put on auction. Her house was demolished and when she tried to stop, she was assaulted. She made representation to Authorities but to no avail.

3. Learned counsel representing the petitioner submits that petitioner was not a party to suit as well as executing proceedings still her

property was attached and further sold.

4. Heard the arguments and perused the record.
5. From the perusal of record, it is evident that execution proceedings were initiated during 2024-2025. The procedure prescribed under Civil Procedure Code, 1908 was duly followed. The petitioner while her property was attached did not approach Civil Court. She even has not filed any petition before Civil Court or Appellate Court against the execution proceedings. She wants that execution proceedings should be set aside while exercising power under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India. The petitioner was having sufficient remedy and even today can avail those remedies as per law, however, has approached this Court. This Court does not find it appropriate to revoke its writ jurisdiction.
6. In the wake of aforesaid discussion and findings, the instant petition deserves to be dismissed and accordingly dismissed.
7. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

17.03.2026
Prince Chawla

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No