



CWP-6846-2026

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

107

CWP-6846-2026

Date of Decision: 09.03.2026

Mukesh Sharma and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL**

Present: - Ms. Shruti Jain Goyal, Advocate for the petitioners

Mr. Akshit Pathania, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana

\*\*\*

**JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)**

1. The petitioners through instant petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India are seeking direction to respondents to regularize their services.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners are working with respondent since 2016. They were earlier appointed in Haryana State Industrial Security Force, however, their services were abruptly terminated in July' 2005. They unsuccessfully approached Courts. They deserve to be regularized as per judgments of Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Nihal Singh and others v. State of Punjab and others*, 2013 SCC OnLine SC 713; *Bhola Nath v. State of Jharkhand*, 2026 SCC OnLine 129; *Dharam Singh v. State of U.P.*, 2025 SCC OnLine SC 1735; *Vinod Kumar and others v. Union of India and others*, 2024 (9) SCC 327; *Jaggo v. Union of India*, 2024 SCC OnLine SC 3826; *Shripal and another v. Nagam Nigam, Ghaziabad, Civil Appeal No.8157 of 2024*; *Union of India*

***V.K. Velajagan and others*, 2025 SCC OnLine SC 837** and of this Court in



CWP-6846-2026

-2-

*Nishi and another v. Panjab University and others, Law Finder Doc ID #2806701; Arvind Rana and others v. Union of India and others, CWP No.20096 of 2021 and Joginder v. State of Haryana, CWP No.31304 of 2025.*

3. Heard the arguments and perused the record.

4. This Court vide judgment dated 13.01.2026 has adjudicated a bunch of writ petitions titled as *Satnam Singh and others v. State of Haryana, Law Finder Doc ID #2838574* involving identical issue and prayer. In the said case, claim of petitioners has been rejected on the basis of judgment of Supreme Court in *Grah Rakshak Home Guards Welfare Association v. State of H.P. and others, 2015 (6) SCC 247*. The respondent has been directed to pay the petitioners salary as per judgment of Supreme Court in *Grah Rakshak (supra)*.

5. The petitioners herein are claiming that they should be regularized as per judgments cited hereinabove. In view of judgment dated 13.01.2026 passed by this Court in *Satnam Singh (supra)*, the instant petition *qua* regularization cannot be allowed, thus, deserves to be dismissed and accordingly, dismissed.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)  
JUDGE

09.03.2026

Mohit Kumar

|                           |        |
|---------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable        | Yes/No |