



CRA-S-943-2025

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

309

CRA-S-943-2025

Decided on :17.02.2026

Gurbir Singh alias Gurveer Singh

... Appellant(s)

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Arshdeep Singh Brar, Advocate for the appellant.

Mr. Vinay Malhotra, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Sumeet Puri, Advocate for respondent

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

1. Present appeal has been filed against the impugned order dated 19.02.2025 passed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Moga, whereby bail application filed under Section 483 of the BNSS in FIR No. 40 dated 18.03.2021, registered under Sections 302 and 307 of the IPC (Section 3 of the SC/ST Act was added later) and Sections 25 and 27 of the Arms Act at Police Station Nihal Singh Wala, District Moga, was dismissed.

2. In regard to the wrong information supplied to the Court on 16.02.2026, learned State counsel, who is being assisted by Superintendent of Police Bal Krishan Singla, expresses his regret and assures the Court that no incorrect information will be provided in future.

3. As the appellant is involved in a double murder case, and only 19 prosecution witnesses have been examined while 07 have been given up, with 14 more yet to be examined as informed by learned State



CRA-S-943-2025

2

counsel, and considering that appellant has already undergone more than four years and ten months of incarceration, pressing hard for his release on bail on the ground of delayed trial, present appeal is **disposed of** with a direction to the learned Trial Court to conclude the recording of statements of the remaining prosecution witnesses, including the statement under Section 313 Cr.P.C., within a period of three months from today.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

17.02.2026
Rashmi

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes/No*
Whether Reportable: *Yes/No*