

(278)

2026:PHHC:036903



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-15619-2022
Date of Decision: 10.03.2026

(Through VC)

Pala Khan

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present: None for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Harkanwar Jeet Singh, AAG, Punjab.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (Oral)

[1] The prayer in the present petition is for issuance of directions to decide the representation of the petitioner (Annexure P-2 dated 07.04.2022) expeditiously as per law and register the FIR.

[2] None appears for the petitioner today.

[3] On 15.07.2024, following orders were passed:-

This is a petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for issuance of direction to respondent No.2 decide the representation of the petitioner dated 7.4.2022 (Annexure P-2) expeditiously.

Mr. Shoryaveer Vashist, Advocate has filed power of attorney on behalf of respondents no.5 to 7, which is taken on record.

(278)

2026:PHHC:036903



Learned counsel for the petitioner would contend that the instant petition has been rendered infructuous in view of the fact that FIR No.15 dated 30.1.2023 has been registered.

In light of the above, he does not press the petition.

Dismissed as infructuous.

[4] On 31.07.2024, following orders were passed:-

This application has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. with prayer to recall/modify the order dated 15.07.2024 and to provide a relief to the applicant by restoration of the main petition.

Learned counsel for the applicant points out that in the final order dated 15.07.2024 passed in the main case, inadvertently FIR No.15 dated 30.01.2023 has been mentioned but in the instant case as per status report dated 17.07.2022 filed by the State Counsel, DDR No.21 dated 21.04.2022 and DDR No.19 dated 03.04.2022 have been recorded after taking opinion on the injuries suffered by both the sides.

In view of the above, application is allowed. The order dated 15.07.2024 is recalled and ordered to be listed for rehearing for 13.08.2024.

In the meantime, State shall get necessary instructions qua the subsequent investigation conducted in these abovementioned two DDRs.

[5] Apparently, in furtherance of the representation moved by the petitioner, DDR No.21 dated 21.04.2022 stands registered under Sec-

(278)

2026:PHHC:036903



tions 323 and 34 of IPC. Therefore, the offence being non-cognizable, the question of registration of FIR does not arise.

[6] In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to avail his remedies in accordance with law, if so advised.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

March 10, 2026
vishal

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No
Whether reportable:- Yes/No