



**RA-CW-129-2026 in
CWP-1982-2026**

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

149

**RA-CW-129-2026 in
CWP-1982-2026
Date of decision: 13.03.2026**

Jitender Singh

....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSH BUNGER

Present: Mr. S.K. Bhar, Advocate with
Mr. Swapnil, Advocate
for the applicant/respondent No.5.

Mr. Pankaj Mulwani, Sr. DAG, Hayana.

HARSH BUNGER J. (Oral)

By way of the present review application, the review applicant/respondent No.5 seek a review of the judgment dated 27.01.2026 passed by this Court in CWP No. 1982 of 2026.

2. The order dated 27.01.2026 passed by this Court in CWP No. 1982 of 2026 reads as under:-

“Petition herein is, inter alia, seeking a writ in the nature of Mandamus, directing the official respondents to conclude the proceedings emanating from the inquiry report dated 26.08.2025 (Annexure P-3).

2. Ms. Upasana Dhawan, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, appears on behalf of the respondent-State, in pursuance of the advance copy of paper book having already been supplied to her. She, on instructions from Mr. Shivam, S.D.O., Vigilance Wing, Panchayati Raj, Rohtak, and Mr. Rishi Goyal, Block Development and Panchayat Officer, Uklana, informs the Court that the petitioner's case is already pending



**RA-CW-129-2026 in
CWP-1982-2026**

-2-

before the State Vigilance Wing, Panchayati Raj, Rohtak, and the same shall be taken to the logical end expeditiously and, in any case, not beyond a period of three months from today, in accordance with law.

3. *Keeping in view the aforesaid submissions made by learned State counsel, no further orders are required to be passed in the present writ petition and the same is, accordingly, disposed of.*

4. *All pending application(s), if any, shall also stand closed.”*

3. After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the review applicant/respondent No.5 submits that the review applicant/respondent No.5 would be satisfied if appropriate directions are issued, that before taking any action in the matter, an opportunity of hearing be afforded to the review applicant/respondent No.5.

4. Mr. Pankaj Mulwani, Sr. DAG, Hayana, appears on behalf of the non-applicant/State, in pursuance of the advance copy of paper book having already been supplied to him and has not opposed the course being adopted.

5. Keeping in view the above consensus, present review application is accordingly disposed of with a direction that before taking action in the matter, an opportunity of hearing be afforded to the review applicant/respondent No.5, in accordance with law.

6. All pending application(s), if any, shall also stand closed.

**(HARSH BUNGER)
JUDGE**

13.03.2026
ankit

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No