



CRM-M-12700-2026

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(115)

CRM-M-12700-2026

DATE OF DECISION:10.03.2026

Naresh Kumar

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA

Present Mr.R.S.Bajwa, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Karan Veer Singh, Sr.DAG, Haryana.

SUBHAS MEHLA, J (ORAL)

1. Present petition has been filed under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023 for grant of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.0809 dated 14.12.2024, under Sections 338, 336(3), 318(4), 316(2), 340 of BNS (Sections 340(2), 317(2) BNS added lateron), registered at Police Station Kundli, District Sonipat.

2. In pursuance of advance notice, Mr. Karan Veer Singh, Sr.DAG, Haryana, appeared and apprised the Court that first anticipatory bail petition was ordered to be dismissed as withdrawn by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 27.02.2026, passed in CRM-M-37024-2025, with liberty to the petitioner to surrender before the trial Court and file appropriate application for grant of bail and learned trial Court was also directed to decide the same in a time bound manner. Learned State counsel submitted that the petitioner has not surrendered before trial Court.



3. Faced with the above situation, learned counsel for the petitioner admitted that the petitioner has not surrendered before the learned trial Court.
4. Heard.
5. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case that the first petition for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner was disposed of by a Co-ordinate Bench after observing as under:-

- “1. xxxx
2. After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner prays for withdrawal of the petition with liberty to the petitioner to surrender before the trial Court and file appropriate application for grant of bail and the trial Court be directed to decide the same in a time bound manner.
3. Allowed to do so.
4. Dismissed as withdrawn. In case the petitioner appears and surrenders before the Court concerned within a week from today and files an application for regular bail then the Court concerned would decide the same as per law expeditiously.”

and as there is no change of circumstance, so second petition for grant of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner is not maintainable and the same is hereby dismissed.

10.03.2026	(SUBHAS MEHLA)
mamta	JUDGE
Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No