



232 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**FAO No. 1988 of 2007 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION: 15.01.2026**

AMARPAL KAUR AND OTHERS
.....APPELLANTS

Vs.

GURDIP SINGH AND OTHERS
.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: None for the appellants.

 Mr. Rajbir Wasu, Advocate,
 for respond No. 3-insurance company.

AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL, J.(ORAL)

1. This is the fifth consecutive date when there is no representation on behalf of the appellants, despite the fact that in pursuance of the last order, learned counsel for the appellants was informed through email.
2. As per the report of the Registry, notices issued to the appellants have been received back with the report that there is no such village in this name in District Ludhiana.
3. In view of the above, no useful purpose would be served in keeping the appeal pending as it appears that the appellants are not interested in pursuing the appeal.
4. Consequently, the appeal is dismissed for non-prosecution.
5. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

JANUARY 15, 2026	(AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL)
nitin	JUDGE
Whether Speaking	Yes
Whether Reportable	No