



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-6992-2026

Date of decision: 09.03.2026

Satnam Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State Transport Commissioner, Punjab-cum-Chairman, Regional
Transport Authority, Jalandhar

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Rajinder Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (Oral)

1. The lackadaisical and indolent approach of the authority concerned in not deciding the application dated 03.10.2025 (Annexure P-3), with respect to grant of a stage carriage permit for operating light motor vehicle with a capacity of 32 seats, has propelled him to approach this Court through the instant writ petition, as cast under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, for issuance of a Mandamus upon the respondent.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has an indefeasible right to get his application (supra) decided, at the earliest. Further, the authorities concerned cannot sit over the matter for an indefinite period.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Sahil R. Bakshi, learned Assistant Advocate General, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent and waives service. At the outset, he fairly submits that he has no objection, in case, a Mandamus is passed upon the respondent to take a final decision upon the application (supra).



5. In view of the above, and since a *bona fide* and innocuous prayer has been made by learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant writ petition is **disposed of**, by issuing a Mandamus upon the respondent to consider and decide the application (*supra*), within a period of eight weeks from the receipt of a certified copy of this order.
6. Needless to assert that before drawing a final order, a due opportunity of hearing shall be afforded to the petitioner.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

09.03.2026
Ak Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	Yes/No