128 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRR-671-2026 (O & M)
Date of decision: 13.03.2026

PARVEEN KUMARI ALIAS VEENA

...REVISIONIST

V/S

STATE OF PUNJAB

...RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA

Present: Mr. Manoj R. Sharma, Advocate
for the revisionist.

****

SUBHAS MEHLA, J. (ORAL)

1. This revision petition has been preferred against the judgment dated 03.01.2026 passed by learned Sessions Judge, Pathankot, vide which, judgment of conviction and order on quantum of sentence dated 11.11.2025 passed by learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Pathankot, have been upheld, in case arising from FIR No.08 dated 18.01.2024 registered under Sections 61/1/14 Excise Act at Police Station Shahpurkandi, Pathankot and the revisionist was sentenced for a period of six months.

2. Learned counsel for the revisionist contended that the revisionist is a lady, aged about 57 years and submitted that he is not assailing the impugned judgment of conviction dated 03.01.2026 on merits and restricting his prayer to modify the order on quantum of sentence to that of the sentence already undergone by the revisionist. As per her custody certificate, the revisionist has undergone actual period of 04 months and 04 days, out of total sentence of six months, awarded by learned trial Court.

3. Learned State counsel placed on record the custody certificate of

the revisionist and opposed the prayer of the revisionist by submitting that total 113 bottles of *lahan* each containing 750 mls. were recovered from the revisionist and already a lenient view has been taken by the trial Court at the time of her conviction as maximum punishment under Section 61 of Excise Act is upto 03 years. Furthermore, the petitioner is involved in four more cases under the Excise Act. As such, she does not deserve any leniency and prayed that the present revision petition be dismissed.

4. Heard.

5. Keeping in view the fact that total 113 bottles of *lahan* each containing 750 mls. were recovered from the revisionist; a lenient view has already been taken by the trial Court at the time of her conviction, as maximum punishment under Section 61 of Excise Act is upto 03 years, but she was sentenced only to undergo rigorous imprisonment for a period of 06 months, and the petitioner is involved in four more cases under the Excise Act. Therefore, this Court finds no merit in the present revision petition and the same stands dismissed accordingly.

6. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, also stand(s) disposed of.

(SUBHAS MEHLA)
JUDGE

March 13, 2026
manisha

(i) Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

(ii) Whether reportable Yes/No