



-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

130-2

**CRM-M-16699-2022(O&M)
Decided on: 06.05.2026**

NANAK CHAND TAYAL AND ANOTHER

....Petitioners

Versus

KOYAL

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA

Present: Mr. Jagjot Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

SUBHAS MEHLA, J. (Oral)

1. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the present petition has been rendered infructuous as the petitioners have been acquitted by the trial Court.
2. Taking into consideration the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioners, the present petition is disposed of, as having become infructuous.
3. Pending applications if any, also stand disposed of.

**(SUBHAS MEHLA)
JUDGE**

06.05.2026

Sonia Puri

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: YES/NO
Whether Reportable: YES/NO