

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

101

CRWP-2486-2026
Date of Decision: 06.03.2026

ANIL KUMAR ALAIS ANIL SAINI

Versus

....Petitioner

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Munish Pur, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Pooja Nayar Sharma, DAG, Punjab.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Sections
37	18.07.2015	Taragarh, Pathankot	406, 418 & 120B IPC

Criminal Appeal	CASE No.CRA/8/2021 CNR No.PBPO010007622021 Decided on: 20.02.2026
-----------------	---

1. Seeking release on parole for 04 weeks to attend the wedding of his daughter, petitioner has come up before this Court by filing the present criminal writ petition under Article 227 of the Constitution of India r/w 528 BNSS r/w Section 3(1)(d) of the Punjab Good Conduct Prisoners (Temporary Release Act) 1962.
2. At the outset, counsel for the petitioner submits that he has filed a criminal revision petition challenging the dismissal of his appeal by the Sessions Court vide judgment dated 20.02.2026, but wedding of the petitioner’s daughter is scheduled for 11.03.2026 and the functions are starting from 09.03.2026, therefore he has filed the present petition for parole. He further submits that despite this urgency, the Superintendent, Sub Jail, Pathankot, is not adhering to the application (Annexure P-4) moved by the petitioner. Counsel further submits that he would be contended and satisfied if the directions are issued to respondent



CRWP-2486-2026

2

No.3-Superintendent, Sub Jail, Pathankot, to consider the application (Annexure P-4), within the time bound manner.

3. Notice served upon the official respondent through State counsel. Counsel for the State submits that they be given time to decide the application (Annexure P-4) filed by the petitioner.

4. Given the nature of order this Court proposes to pass, no response is required from the official respondent.

5. Given above, respondent No.3-Superintendent, Sub Jail, Pathankot, is directed to decide the application (P-4) filed by the petitioner, during course of the day and if found entitled for parole, recommend his case immediately and respondent No.2 shall take decision on the same on the same day. It is clarified that order passed must be reasoned one and same be also communicated to the petitioner without any delay. It is further clarified that if the application is dismissed, in that case respondent No.3 shall ensure that the petitioner be taken to attend the marriage by the police officials in civil attire, on 11.03.2026 well before the arrival of Barat.

6. Petition is disposed of with the aforesaid observations. Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

06.03.2026
anju rani

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable?	No