

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

2026:PHHC:039332



116

CRM-M-12865-2026

Date of decision: 13.03.2026

Date of uploading: 13.03.2026

**Mistri Vinod Hasmukh Bhai**

....Petitioner

V/s

**State of Haryana**

....Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL**

Present: Mr. Shasak Jain, Advocate, for the petitioner  
(Through V.C.).

Ms. Priyanka Sadar, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

\*\*\*\*\*

**SUMEET GOEL, J. (ORAL)**

1. Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 483 of BNSS 2023 is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.157 dated 16.06.2025, registered at Police Station Cyber Crime Central, District Faridabad, under Section 318(4) of BNS 2023.
2. The case set up in the FIR in question (as set out by the petitioner in the present petition) is as follows:-

*“ To the SHO, P.S. Cyber Crime Central, Faridabad.*

*Sir, Most respectfully submitted that I, Ritu Bansal, daughter of Shri N.K. Aggarwal, resident of House No. P/105, Paras Apartment, Sector-30, Faridabad, state as follows:*

*On 20/03/2025, an advertisement appeared on my Facebook ID promising very high profit on investment. Through that advertisement, I received a call on WhatsApp from number +44 7570899124 (contact saved as long number). They offered me very high profit on investment. Thereafter, they got an online registration form filled from me. Through repeated WhatsApp calls, they misled me by offering very high profit on investment. Thereafter, from 20/03/2025 to 27/05/2025, misled by them on many occasions, I transferred a total of Rs. 79,87,000/- online from my account No. 50100113211003 (HDFC Bank) to various accounts provided by them. They cheated me by taking my money on the pretext of very high profit.*

*Appropriate action be taken against them and my money be recovered. I have already filed an online complaint with Acknowledgement No. 21306250025673.*

*Ritu Bansal Address: P/105, Paras Apartment, Sector-30, Faridabad  
Mob.No. 9871099611 Date: 16/06/25”.*

3. Learned counsel contends that the petitioner is in custody since 07.11.2025. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner himself is a victim of fraud as he was trapped by other persons. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner has further submitted that the petitioner is a man aged 50 years with no criminal antecedents. Thus, regular bail is prayed for.

4. Learned State counsel opposed the grant of bail to the petitioner by arguing that the allegations raised are serious in nature and, thus, the petitioner does not deserve the concession of regular bail. Learned State counsel seeks to place on record custody certificate dated 12.03.2026 in Court, which is taken on record.

5. I have heard counsel for the parties and have gone through the available records of the case.

6. The petitioner was arrested on 07.11.2025 whereinafter investigation was carried out and challan was presented on 24.12.2025. Total 8 prosecution have been cited and it is the conceded position before this Court that none of the prosecution witnesses has been examined till date. It is, thus, indubitable that conclusion of trial is not likely to culminate in near future. It is also not in dispute before this Court that trial emanating from the FIR in question is a Magisterial one. The rival contentions of the learned counsel for the parties; as to the whether the petitioner has been falsely implicated into the FIR, shall be gone into during the course of trial. This Court does not deem it appropriate to delve deep into these rival contentions, at this stage lest it may prejudice the rights of either of the parties. Nothing tangible has been brought forward to indicate the likelihood of the petitioner absconding from the process of justice or interfering with the remaining prosecution evidence.

7. As per the custody certificate dated 12.03.2026 filed by the learned State counsel, the petitioner has suffered incarceration for 4 months & 6 days and is not shown to be involved in any other FIR/case.

Suffice to say, the further detention of the petitioner in custody is not required in the facts and circumstances of the case.

8. In view of above, the petition in hand is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned concerned CJM/Duty Magistrate. However, in addition to conditions that may be imposed by the

concerned CJM/Duty Magistrate, the petitioner shall remain bound by the following conditions:-

- (i) The petitioner shall not mis-use the liberty granted.
- (ii) The petitioner shall not tamper with any evidence, oral or documentary, during the trial.
- (iii) The petitioner shall not absent himself on any date before the trial.
- (iv) The petitioner shall not commit any offence while on bail.
- (v) The petitioner shall deposit his passport, if any, with the trial Court.
- (vi) The petitioner shall give his cell phone number to the Investigating Officer/SHO of concerned Police Station and shall not change his cell-phone number without prior permission of the trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate.
- (vii) The petitioner shall not in any manner try to delay the trial.
- (viii) The petitioner shall be required to deposit either an FDR or a bank guarantee amounting to Rs.1 lakh in the name of the concerned court, releasing him on bail.**

9. In case of breach of any of the aforesaid conditions and those which may be imposed by concerned CJM/Duty Magistrate as directed hereinabove or upon showing any other sufficient cause, the State/complainant shall be at liberty to move cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

10. Ordered accordingly.

11. Nothing said hereinabove shall be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

12. Since the main case has been decided, pending miscellaneous application, if any, shall also stands disposed off.

(SUMEET GOEL)  
JUDGE

March 13, 2026  
*Naveen*

Whether speaking/reasoned:  
Whether reportable:

Yes/No  
Yes/No