



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

219/3

**CRM-M No.12175 of 2026
Date of decision : 19.3.2026
Date of uploading : 20.3.2026**

Bhupinder Singh and another**Petitioners**
Versus
State of Punjab**Respondent**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: Mr. R.S. Dadwal, Advocate, for the petitioners
Mr. Jaypreet Singh, DAG, Punjab
Mr. Anterpreet Singh, Advocate, for the complainant

SUMEET GOEL, J. (ORAL)

1. On 6.3.2026, the following order was passed:

'Apprehending his arrest in FIR No.91 dated 27.06.2024 registered for offences punishable under Sections 452, 323, 427, 447, 511, 380, 506, 148, 149 IPC (corresponding Sections 333, 115(2), 324(4), 329(3), 62, 305, 351(2), 191(3), 190 of BNS 2023), at Police Station Sadar Jagraon, District Ludhiana (Rural); the petitioner has preferred this petition under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking pre-arrest bail.

Counsel for the petitioner, inter alia, contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated into the FIR in question on account of a pending land/property dispute between the rival parties; assuming arguendo, the prosecution version available at this juncture is taken to be correct, the petitioner is attributed the role of lalkara, the petitioner is stated to be armed with Takua but has not used the same & the petitioner is willing to join investigation and cooperate therein as per law.

Notice of motion.

On the strength of advance notice; Mr. Adhiraj Singh Thind, AAG, Punjab has entered appearance on behalf of the respondent-State of Punjab.

Adjourned to 19.03.2026. To be heard alongwith CRM-M-11902-2026.

The petitioner is directed to appear before the Investigating Officer on 07.03.2026 at 11:00 A.M. in concerned Police Station and join investigation. In



the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing personal/surety bond(s) to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. As and when further called by Investigating Officer, the petitioner shall join the investigation. He shall abide by the condition(s) enumerated under Section 482(2) of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.'

2. Learned State counsel (on instructions) submits that pursuant to the order dated 6.3.2026, the petitioners have joined investigation and are no longer required for custodial interrogation.

3. Learned counsel for the complainant has vehemently opposed the anticipatory bail of the petitioners by arguing that there are direct and serious allegations against the petitioners and in case they have extended the concession of anticipatory bail, there is all the likelihood that they may flee from the hands of justice and may influence the prosecution witnesses/evidence.

4. In view of the above, this Court is inclined to confirm the order dated 6.3.2026. Accordingly, the instant petition is allowed. The interim order dated 6.3.2026, passed by this Court is made absolute, subject to the conditions as enumerated under Section 482(2) of BNSS.

5. This order should not be treated as "blanket" order. It will not be read granting petitioners indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

6. Liberty is reserved in favour of State/complainant to move for cancellation/recall of this order in case the petitioners violate any condition stipulated under Section 482(2) of BNSS or upon showing any other sufficient cause.



7. Needless to say that anything observed herein above shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

19.3.2026
Ashwanii

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No