



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

COCN-1033-2026(O&M)
Date of decision: 29.04.2026

Anchal Sandhu

... Petitioner

Versus

Yash Pal

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL

Present: Mr. Gaurav Datta, Advocate,
Mr. KPS Dhaliwal, Advocate, and
Mr. Raghav Grover, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Dheeraj Jain, Senior Panel Counsel, and
Ms. Gurneet Sagoo, Advocate,
for the respondent-UI.

VIKRAM AGGARWAL, J. (ORAL)

The instant contempt petition alleges willful disobedience of the directions issued vide order dated 13.11.2025 (Annexure P-1), passed in CWP-33689-2025:-

“At this stage, learned counsel appearing for respondents No. 1 and 2 submits that in case, the petitioner applies fresh for re-issuance/renewal of passport, supported by requisite information/ document, the said application would be considered by passport authorities and appropriate order would be passed thereon in accordance with law within a period of eight weeks from the date when such an application is submitted by the petitioner.

Keeping in view the aforesaid stand taken by learned counsel appearing for respondents No. 1 and 2, instant civil writ petition is accordingly disposed of.”



2. In deference to order dated 24.03.2026 passed by this Court, Sh. Yash Pal, Regional Passport Officer, Jalandhar, is present through Video Conference. He submits that pursuant to order dated 13.11.2025 having been passed, the petitioner applied for re-issuance/renewal of passport dated 21.11.2025. Whereafter, the office of Regional Passport referred the case to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Hoshiarpur on 04.12.2025 seeking his no objection, which was followed by three reminders on 06.01.2026, 29.01.2026 and 20.03.2026. It has been submitted that now on 21.04.2026, response from the Office of Senior Superintendent of Police, Hoshiarpur, has been received and the requisite action shall be taken upon the application submitted by the petitioner today itself.

3. That being so, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that no grievance subsists at this stage and the petitioner does not wish to press the present contempt petition.

4. In view of the statement given by learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant contempt petition is disposed of as not having been pressed.

5. Pending application(s), if any, also stands disposed of.

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

April 29, 2026

Rajan

Whether speaking / reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No