

2026:PHHC:074110



210

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH
CRM-M-12808-2026

Dilawar Singh

....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

Date of Decision: May 12, 2026

Date of Uploading: May 12, 2026

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: Mr. Mohan Singh Chauhan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Priyanka Sadar Thakur, Senior DAG Haryana.

SUMEET GOEL, J. (ORAL)

Present petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS') for grant of concession of
anticipatory bail to the petitioner, in case FIR No.393 dated 23.06.2023, registered
for offences punishable under Sections 148, 149, 201, 308, 323, 379-B, 506 of IPC
(Sections 191(3), 190, 238, 110, 115(2), 304, 351(2)/(3) of BNS), at Police Station
Shahbad, Kurukshetra, Punjab.

2. On 10.03.2026, the following order was passed:

“Apprehending his arrest in FIR No.393 dated 23.06.2023, registered for offences punishable under Sections 148, 149, 201, 308, 323, 379-B, 506 of IPC (Sections 191(3), 190, 238, 110, 115(2), 304, 351(2)/(3) of BNS), at Police Station Shahbad, Kurukshetra, Punjab; the petitioner has preferred this petition under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking pre-arrest bail.

Inter alia relies upon the order dated 05.02.2026, passed by co-ordinate Bench of this Court, in CRM-M-6784-2026, & the petitioner is willing to join investigation and cooperate therein.

Notice of motion.

On the strength of advance service of copy of petition, Ms. Mahima Yashpal Singla, Senior DAG Haryana appears and accepts notice on behalf of the respondent – State of Haryana.

Put up on 08.04.2026.

The petitioner is directed to appear before the Investigating Officer on 13.03.2026 at 11:00 A.M. in concerned Police Station and join investigation. In the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing personal/surety bond(s) to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. As and when further called by Investigating Officer, the petitioner shall join the investigation. He shall abide by the condition(s) enumerated under Section 482(2) of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.”

3. Learned State counsel (on instructions) has stated that pursuant to the order dated 10.03.2026, the petitioner has indeed joined investigation and his custody interrogation is not required.

4. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and upon perusal of the record; especially in view of the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and his custodial interrogation is not being sought for by the State; the interim order dated 10.03.2026 passed by this Court is made absolute, subject to the conditions as enumerated under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

5. Petition stands **allowed**, accordingly.

6. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

7. Liberty is reserved in favour of State/complainant to move for cancellation/recall of this order in case the petitioner violates any condition stipulated under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023 or upon showing any other sufficient cause.

8. Needless to say that anything observed herein above shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

9. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

May 12, 2026

mahavir

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No