

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

2026:PHHC:036068



(132)

CR-2156-2026

Date of Decision:-10.03.2026

SURINDER KUMAR

... Petitioner

Versus

BALJEET KAUR

... Respondent

-.-

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIRINDER AGGARWAL

Present: Mr. Ashish Bakshi, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

VIRINDER AGGARWAL, J. (Oral)

1. This revision petition has been filed seeking direction to the learned Appellate Authority, Chandigarh to decide the stay application filed along with the appeal filed by the petitioner as execution proceedings are going on and warrants of possession has been issued for 25.03.2026. It is the case of the petitioner that an ejectment order has been passed against the petitioner. Petitioner assailed that ejectment order by filing an appeal before the Appellate Authority. Along with the appeal, petitioner has filed an application for stay of execution of the impugned order. Learned Appellate Authority has not granted any stay and has not decided the stay application. The Executing Court has issued warrants of possession now returnable by 25.03.2026. It is further submitted that in case, petitioner/appellant is dispossessed of his tenanted property, his appeal would be rendered infructuous.

2. Considering the facts and circumstances, it is a fit case where supervisory jurisdiction vested in this Court under Article 227 of the Constitution of India is required to be exercised. The instant petition is disposed of accordingly with directions to the learned Appellate Authority to decide the stay application filed by the petitioner along with appeal within a period of one week from the date next fixed before the learned Appellate Authority and in the meantime, proceedings before learned Executing Court be kept in abeyance.

3. Pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

(VIRINDER AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

10th February, 2026
S. Pathania

Whether reasoned / speaking?

Yes / No

Whether reportable?

Yes / No