



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

213

CRM-M No.12368 of 2026
Date of decision : 7.4.2026
Date of uploading : 7.4.2026

Amit KumarPetitioner
Versus
State of HaryanaRespondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: Mr. Monit Pal Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner
Ms. Priyanka Sadar, Senior DAG, Haryana
Mr. Rupender Hooda, Advocate, for the complainant

SUMEET GOEL, J. (ORAL)

1. On 7.3.2026, the following order was passed:

'Apprehending his arrest in FIR No.246 dated 14.08.2024 registered for offences punishable under Sections 406, 420, 467, 468, 471 of IPC, at Police Station Bawani Khera, District Bhiwani; the petitioner has preferred this petition under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking pre-arrest bail.

Counsel for the petitioner, inter alia, contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated into the FIR in question, the main accused namely Jagbir (father of the present petitioner) already stands arrested & the petitioner is willing to join investigation and cooperate therein as per law. Notice of motion.

On the strength of advance notice; Mr. Deepak Kumar Grewal, DAG, Haryana has entered appearance on behalf of the respondent-State of Haryana.

At this stage; Mr. Rupender Singh, Advocate has caused appearance on behalf of the FIR-complainant and filed his power of attorney.

Adjourned to 07.04.2026.

The petitioner is directed to appear before the Investigating Officer on



11.03.2026 at 11:00 A.M. in concerned Police Station and join investigation. In the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing personal/surety bond(s) to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. As and when further called by Investigating Officer, the petitioner shall join the investigation. He shall abide by the condition(s) enumerated under Section 482(2) of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.'

2. Learned State counsel (on instructions) submits that pursuant to the order dated 7.3.2026, the petitioner has joined investigation and is no longer required for custodial interrogation.
3. Learned counsel for the complainant has vehemently opposed the anticipatory bail of the petitioner by arguing that there are direct and serious allegations against the petitioner and in case he has extended the concession of anticipatory bail, there is all the likelihood that he may flee from the hands of justice and may influence the prosecution witnesses/evidence.
4. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and upon perusal of the record, especially keeping in view the factum that the petitioner has joined investigation and his custodial interrogation is not sought by the State; this Court is inclined to confirm the order dated 7.3.2026.
5. In view of the above, the instant petition is allowed. Interim order dated 7.3.2026, passed by this Court is made absolute, subject to the conditions as enumerated under Section 482(2) of BNSS.
6. This order should not be treated as "blanket" order. It will not be read granting petitioner indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any



other incident that involves commission of an offence.

7. Liberty is reserved in favour of State/complainant to move for cancellation/recall of this order in case the petitioner violates any condition stipulated under Section 482(2) of BNSS or upon showing any other sufficient cause.
8. Needless to say that anything observed herein above shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

7.4.2026
Ashwani

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No