



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

107

FAO-3640-1999

Date of Decision:-26.02.2026

Tek Chand

.....Appellant

Versus

Ram Avtar and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Meenal Garg, Advocate, for
Mr. Sandeep Vermani, Advocate, for the appellant.

Mr. Ravi Yadav, Advocate,
for respondent No.1 through V.C.

AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the appellant submits that he has no instructions in the matter, as despite his best efforts, he could not contact with the appellant.
2. In view of the above, and considering the fact that the present appeal pertains to the year 1999, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the matter pending. Accordingly, the present appeal is dismissed for non-prosecution, with liberty to the appellant to seek revival of the same, if so advised, as per law.
3. Pending applications, if any, shall stand disposed of.

(AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

26.02.2026

anil

Whether speaking/reasoned:-	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:-	Yes/No