



CRM-M-13200-2026(O&M)

1

IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH

214

CRM-M-13200-2026(O&M)
Date of decision: 30.04.2026

Dilshad Khan

...Petitioner

V/S

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL

Present: Mr. Davinder Singh Saini, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sukhbeer Singh, DAG, Punjab.

None for respondents No.2 and 3.

SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL, J.

1. Petitioner seeks regular bail in case arising out of FIR No.180 dated 13.10.2025 under Sections 137(2), 96 of BNS, Police Station Dakha, District Ludhiana (Rural). This is the first petition for regular bail.
2. Complainant stated that his daughter 'S' born on 16.03.2009, left studies after 12th and remained at home. On 08.10.2025 when he was away to Ludhiana, his wife informed that at about 5:00 p.m. 'S' had gone somewhere without informing anyone. He returned and searched for her everywhere but could not find her. He learnt that 'S' was lured and taken away by Gurtej Singh s/o Charanjit Singh, on the pretext of marriage.

**CRM-M-13200-2026(O&M)****2**

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner was behind bars w.e.f. 14.10.2025. His antecedents were clean and there was no other case registered against him. Petitioner had been falsely implicated on the basis of disclosure statement of co-accused which was not admissible in evidence. There was neither any eye witness nor any other witness to prove that petitioner had enticed or taken away daughter of the complainant. Till now, prosecutrix had not been recovered. Investigation was complete and challan had been presented in the court. Main accused namely Gurtej Singh, who was named in the FIR had since been enlarged on regular bail.

4. Learned State counsel has filed status report by way of affidavit of DSP, Dakha, District Ludhiana (Rural), which is taken on record. He has opposed the prayer for regular bail, submitting that in view of the nature of allegations against the petitioner, he did not deserve to be enlarged on bail. He concedes that petitioner was nominated on the basis of disclosure statement of co-accused.

5. Respondents No.2 and 3 were duly served but have not opted to contest the petition.

6. Petitioner is behind bars w.e.f. 14.10.2025. Main accused Gurtej Singh has already been enlarged on regular bail. Antecedents of the petitioner are clean and he is not stated to be involved in any other case. Investigation of the case is complete. Prosecutrix has not been recovered till now, therefore, there is no prospect of the petitioner influencing her. Trial to conclude will take long. There is no reason to suspect that the petitioner would obstruct the course of justice or evade



CRM-M-13200-2026(O&M)

3

the process of law. Considering the period of incarceration and all relevant facts and circumstances of the case, but without commenting on merits, petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail subject to his furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

7. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, stand disposed of.

30.04.2026

Kapil

(SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

: Yes/No

: Yes/No