



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-24699-2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision:19.03.2026

Rajinder Singh and Ors.

...Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and Ors.

...Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present: Mr. Vishal Sinha, Advocate with
Ms. Sumati Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. M.S. Bajwa, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. J.S Lalli, Advocate
for respondents No.2 to 4.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioners have filed the present petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C with a prayer to quash the FIR No.33, dated 03.03.2014, under Sections 323,324,326,307,148,149 of IPC, Police Station City Batala, District Batala (Annexure P-2) and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom.

2. The petitioners had earlier filed a petition i.e.CRM-M-11862-2015 titled as "*Narinderjit Kaur & Others Vs. State of Punjab & Another*" for the same relief and after hearing learned senior counsel for the petitioners, this Court had passed the following order on 20.04.2015 :-

"The instant petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C seeking quashing of F.I.R. No.33 dated 3.3.2014 under Sections 323, 324, 326, 307, 148, 149 I.P.C, registered at Police Station, City Batala, District Batala and order dated 12.2.2015 vide which cancellation report has been rejected and petitioners have been summoned.

Having argued the matter at some length, learned senior counsel prays for withdrawal of the present petition.

Prayer is allowed.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

It is, however, observed that dismissal of the present petition as withdrawn would not preclude the petitioners from raising all pleas available strictly in accordance with law, at the appropriate stage and before the appropriate forum.

Disposed of”.

3. Thereafter, again the petitioners had filed another petition i.e CRM-M-41782-2015 (O&M) titled as “*Rajinder Singh and Ors. Vs.State of Punjab and Ors.*” before this Court for the same relief and the following order was passed on 01.04.2016:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner states that in this case, cancellation report was presented. However, learned Magistrate took cognizance of the case and summoned the present petitioners. Learned counsel for the petitioner further contends that the petitioners have already filed an application for discharge before the lower Court. As such, he wishes to withdraw the present petition to press all the issues before the lower Court.

It being so, the present petition is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to raise all the available pleas before the lower Court”.

4. Now, the petitioners have filed the third petition before this Court for the same relief, which is not at all maintainable. Rather, this Court has reasons to believe that the present petition has been filed just to waste the precious time of this Court and is an instrument of misuse of process of law.

5. Even otherwise, the trial is at fag end and the instant petition was

not maintainable before this Court.

6. In view of the above discussion, the present petition is dismissed with a cost of Rs.50,000/- and the same should be deposited by the petitioners before the Trial Court within a period of four weeks from today.

19.03.2026
hitesh

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No