

132 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

2026.PHHC:043469



RSA-831-1995 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 19.03.2026

GURDEEP SINGH Vs. AMARJIT KAUR AND OTHERS
...Appellant ...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIRINDER AGGARWAL

Present: None.

VIRINDER AGGARWAL, J. (Oral)

1. The present matter pertains to the year 1995 and has remained pending for a considerable period of time. On the previous date of hearing as well, none had appeared on behalf of the parties, whereupon notice was directed to be issued. As per the office report, the notice issued to the appellant has been received back with the report “died”. However, despite such report, no one has come forward to seek impleadment as legal representatives of the deceased appellant. In these circumstances, it can be reasonably inferred that the legal representatives of the appellant are not interested in pursuing the present appeal any further. Keeping the matter pending indefinitely, in the absence of any representation or steps for substitution, would serve no useful purpose and would only add to the burden of the docket.
2. Accordingly, the instant appeal stands dismissed for want of prosecution.
3. As a natural corollary, since the main case stands disposed of, all pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of, as no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping them pending.

(VIRINDER AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

19.03.2026
kv

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No