

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

2026 PHHC 034909



(108)

CRWP-2465-2026

Decided on : 07.03.2026

Date of uploading: 07.03.2026

Jaspal Singh

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present:- Mr. Vishnu Dutt, Advocate for the petitioner

SUMEET GOEL, JUDGE (Oral)

1. The petition in hand has been preferred under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India with the following substantive prayer(s):-

“In view of the submissions made above, it is, therefore, respectfully prayed that the present petition may kindly be allowed and a direction may kindly be issued to respondent No. 2 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner as he is continuously receiving serious life threats from the private respondents who are extending serious life threats and have attacked upon the petitioner and are also pressurizing the petitioner to compromise in the FIR No223 dated 28.08.2025, u/s 331 (4), 305 of BNS 2023, PS Ajnala, District Amritsar (Annexure P-1) and the FIR No. 17 dated 23.01.2026, u/s 333, 115 (2), 351 (2), 324 (4), 324 (5), 3 (5) BNS 2023, PS Ajnala, District Amritsar (Annexure P-2) in which, the private respondents are the accused persons.

It is further prayed that the appropriate directions may kindly be issued to the respondent no. 2 to get decide the representation dated 25.02.2026 (Annexure P-3), in some time bound manner, in the interest of justice.”

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner, after arguing for sometime, has limited his prayer by stating that he would be satisfied, for the *nonce*, if a direction is issued to respondent No.2 (Senior Superintendent of Police, Amritsar, Rural) to consider and take a conscious decision on the representation

dated 25.02.2026 made by the petitioner (copy whereof has been annexed as Annexure P-3 with the petition in hand) in a time-bound manner.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On the strength of advance copy, Mr. Hemant Aggarwal, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3. He has urged that he has no objection in case limited prayer made by the petitioner, for decision of his representation dated 25.02.2026 (Annexure P-3), is directed for.

5. Having heard learned counsel for the rival party(s) and upon perusal of the paper-book, this Court deems it appropriate to dispose of the petition in hand with the following directions:

- (i) Respondent No. 2 (Senior Superintendent of Police, Amritsar, Rural) is mandated to look into the representation (Annexure P-3) moved by the petitioner, objectively consider the grievance(s) raised therein & take ratiocinated decision thereupon within a period of 15 days from today. Said decision be conveyed to the petitioner within 7 days thereafter
- (ii) No order as to costs.

07.03.2026
Naveen

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether Reportable :	Yes/No