



FAO-3168-2002

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(107)

FAO-3168-2002

Date of Decision:-13.01.2026

Surinder Singh and Others

.....Appellants

Versus

Saudagar Ali and Others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: None for the appellants.

Mr. Pradeep Kumar, Advocate,
for respondent No.4-Insurance Company.

AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (Oral)

1. Mr. Pradeep Kumar, Advocate, appears on behalf of respondent No.4 and filed power of attorney on his behalf. The same is taken on record.
2. As per the report of the Registry, the notices issued to the appellants have been received back with the report that no information is available about the appellants at the given address.
3. A perusal of the case file reveals that on the last three consecutive dates of hearing, i.e. 23.09.2025, 16.07.2025 and 08.07.2025, neither the appellants nor anyone on their behalf has appeared before the Court. Even today, there is no representation on their behalf.
4. In the aforesaid circumstances, it is evident that no useful purpose would be served by keeping the present appeal pending on the docket for an indefinite period.



FAO-3168-2002

5. It, therefore, appears that the appellants are not interested in prosecuting the present appeal.
6. Accordingly, the present appeal is dismissed in default for want of prosecution.
7. However, liberty is granted to the appellants to seek revival of the appeal in accordance with law, in case any worthwhile cause survives for consideration.
8. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

13.01.2026
Shubham

Whether speaking/reasoned:-	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:-	Yes/No