



**In the High Court for the States of Punjab and Haryana at
Chandigarh**

251

CRM-M-12121-2026(O&M)
Date of Decision:- 20.04.2026

Sunny	...	Petitioner
Versus		
State of Haryana	...	Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA

Present:- Mr. Parminder Singh Sekhon, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Laghuinder Singh Sekhon, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Aditya Pal Singla, AAG, Haryana.

SUBHAS MEHLA, J. (Oral)

1. By way of the present petition, the petitioner is seeking regular bail in FIR No.08 dated 11.01.2026 (Annexure P-1), registered under Sections 21(b), 27-a and 31 of NDPS Act, 1985, at Police Station Tohana, District Fatehabad.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case on the basis of disclosure statement of co-accused. The petitioner has been in custody since 11.01.2026; the contraband allegedly recovered i.e. 9.2 grams of 'heroin', is marginally above the small quantity; investigation has already been completed; challan stands presented; charges are yet to be framed; and the trial is likely to take considerable time to conclude, therefore, learned counsel prayed for grant of concession of regular bail to the petitioner.



3. Custody certificate dated 16.04.2026 of the petitioner filed by learned State counsel today in Court, is taken on record. As per custody certificate, the petitioner has been in custody for the last 03 months and 05 days.
4. Learned State counsel opposed the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner by submitting that the petitioner is a habitual offender and is involved in two other cases of similar nature.
5. Heard.
6. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case and without commenting upon the merits of the case, the petitioner has been in custody since 11.01.2026; investigation has already been completed; challan stands presented; charges are yet to be framed; the trial is likely to take considerable time to conclude, and no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping him in custody for any further period, as concession of bail cannot be denied just as a measure of punishment and it is a trite principle of criminal jurisprudence that bail is rule, jail is an exception, this Court deems it a fit case to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner.
7. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned.

20.04.2026
Geeta

(SUBHAS MEHLA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking /reasoned	Yes / No
Whether Reportable	Yes / No