



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

120

CWP-6892-2026 (O&M)

Date of decision: 09.03.2026

Rajender Kumar

....Petitioner

Versus

Managing Director, UHBVN and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Himanshu Munjal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sukhdeep S. Parmar, Advocate
for the respondents.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in this writ petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus, directing the respondent No.2/Appellate Authority to decide the statutory appeal dated 22.11.2025 (Annexure P-4) filed by the petitioner in a time-bound manner. Further prayer has been made to direct respondent No.2/Appellate Authority to stay the operation of the punishment order dated 30.10.2025 (Annexure P-3) qua the 10% cut in pension and refrain from effecting any further deductions from the petitioner's pension on account thereof, during the pendency of the statutory appeal.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he will be satisfied if a direction is issued to respondent No.2 to decide the statutory appeal dated 22.11.2025 (Annexure P-4) filed by the petitioner by passing a speaking order in a time bound manner. He further prays



that till final disposal of the aforesaid statutory appeal, recovery from the pension of the petitioner may be stayed.

3. Notice of motion.
4. Mr. Sukhdeep S. Parmar, Advocate, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and submits that he has no objection, in case a direction is issued to respondent No.2 to hear and decide the statutory appeal dated 22.11.2025 (Annexure P-4), in a time bound manner.
5. In view of the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner, without commenting upon merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of and the respondent No.2 is directed to hear and decide the statutory appeal dated 22.11.2025 (Annexure P-4) in a time bound manner and pass a speaking order in terms of the judgment rendered by this Court in **CWP-9606-2022**, titled as ***Khairati Lal vs State of Haryana and others***, decided on **13.10.2025**, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.
6. Till decision of the statutory appeal filed by the petitioner, recovery from the pension of the petitioner shall remain stayed.
7. Needless to say, if the petitioner is found entitled to the relief sought, the same be granted to him forthwith by respondent No.2.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

09.03.2026
yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No