



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

FAO-3275-1999

Date of decision : 15.01.2026

SURESH PAL,

...Appellant

Versus

ASHOK KUMAR AND ANR

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN

Present : Mr. Manmeet Kaushik, Advocate for the appellant.

Mr. Paul S. Saini, Advocate for respondent No.2.

PANKAJ JAIN, J. (ORAL)

Claimant is in appeal aggrieved of the order passed by Commissioner under the Workmen's Compensation Act, 1923 (now known as the Employee's Compensation Act, 1923 and hereinafter referred to as 'the 1923 Act'.)

2. Counsel for the appellant has raised two fold plea. He submits that it being an injury case, the compensation should have been awarded by multiplying relevant factor with 60% of the income i.e., 60% of Rs.950/- and that the interest has to be paid as per Section 4A of 1923 Act.

3. Mr. Saini is not in position to dispute the aforesaid fact.

4. Accordingly, the order passed by the Commissioner is modified, and compensation payable to the appellant, is recomputed as under:

$$Rs\ 950 \times 60/100 \times 215.28 = \text{Rs.1,22,709.60/-}$$

5. The same shall also bear interest @ 6% per annum for the period commencing from 30 days after the date of accident i.e., 08.11.1989 till the date of actual realization.

6. Needless to say any amount already paid to the claimants/ appellants in execution of the award shall be set off.

7. Instant appeal is disposed off accordingly.

January 15, 2026
Dpr

(Pankaj Jain)
Judge