



**127 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-6697-2026
Date of decision: 06.03.2026**

BED PARKASH

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Ms. Jai Shree Kaushik, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Balyan, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (Oral):

The jurisdiction of this Court has been invoked under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of Certiorari to quash the impugned order dated 17.01.2025 (Annexure P-2), whereby the petitioner was relieved from the post of Helper arbitrarily, without any legal reason or cause. The said order is wrong, unfair, discriminatory and arbitrary, and is in violation of the policy decisions dated 07.08.2024 and 15.01.2025 (Annexures P-3 and P-4), and is therefore liable to be set aside. A further prayer has been made for issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus, directing the respondents to grant the benefit of the policy instructions dated 07.08.2024 and 15.01.2025, respectively issued by respondent No.1, and to adjust the petitioner in the same respondent department against a vacant post, and not to discriminate against the petitioner in violation of the fundamental rights enshrined under Articles 14, 16 and 21 of the Constitution of India.



It is further prayed that during the pendency of the present writ petition, the relieving order dated 17.01.2025 may kindly be stayed.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the issue involved in the present petition already stands adjudicated by this Court *vide* order dated 24.12.2025 passed in CWP-7437-2025 titled as '***Renu and ors. vs. State of Haryana and ors.***'

3. Mr. Deepak Balyan, Addl. A.G., Haryana, having been served with an advance copy of petition, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and undertakes that the impugned relieving order by which the service of the petitioner was dispensed with shall be withdrawn and he will be allowed to continue till a fresh decision is taken. It has further been stated that the State shall undertake a fresh consideration of the matter by examining the case of the petitioner independently and shall pass appropriate orders in accordance with law.

4. In light of above, the present writ petition is disposed of in terms of the order dated 24.12.2025 passed in ***Renu and ors. (supra)***, as the controversy involved herein is squarely covered by the said decision. Accordingly, the respondents are directed to extend the same benefits to the petitioner, as admissible under law, within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

5. Ordered accordingly.

6. Pending application(s), if any shall be disposed off.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

06.03.2026
monika

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes /No
2. Whether reportable : Yes /No