



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr.No.136

**CRWP-2436-2026
Decided on : 05.03.2026**

Mamta Rani and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL

Present : Ms. Gaganpreet Kaur, Advocate
for Mr. Karandeep Singh Dargan, Advocate
for the petitioners.

RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL, J (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking directions to the official respondent(s) to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners from private respondents. It is stated in the petition that the petitioners have already made representation (Annexure P-3) before the Senior Superintendent of Police, Fazilka, raising the said grievances, but no action has been taken so far.

2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners would be satisfied if appropriate directions are issued to respondent No.2-The Senior Superintendent of Police, Fazilka to consider the aforesaid representation filed by the petitioners and take necessary action thereon qua threat perception.

Notice of motion.



CRWP-2436-2026 2

4. Mr. Ravinder Singh, DAG Punjab, who is present in Court, has accepted the notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3-State and submits that the needful will be done in due course.

5. In view of the above, without commenting anything on the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of at this stage with a direction to respondent No.2-The Senior Superintendent of Police, Fazilka to consider and decide the aforesaid representation (Annexure P-3) of the petitioners qua threat perception only within a period of 30 days from today, by passing a speaking order in accordance with law.

05.03.2026
mamta

(RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No