



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

118

CWP-6637-2026**Date of Decision: 27.04.2026**

DHINGRA BROTHERS (INDIA)

...Petitioner

Vs.

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS.

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Mr. Amit Dhawan, Advocate
for the petitioner

Mr. Ishan Kaushal, AAG Punjab

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking setting aside of order dated 14.05.2025 whereby respondent No.3-Executive Engineer, Provincial Division, PWD B&R, Ludhiana has rejected its claim of differential GST arising out of statutory enhancement of GST from 12% to 18%.

2. This is second round of litigation. The petitioner, on earlier occasion, approached this Court by way of *CWP-7112-2025* which was disposed of vide order dated 29.05.2025 with liberty to avail remedies as permissible by law. During the pendency of abovementioned writ petition, respondent No. 3 vide order dated 14.05.2025 rejected petitioners claim of reimbursement of 6% additional GST.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner on being asked expressed his inability to controvert that there is arbitration clause in the agreement and respondent has rejected his claim by passing impugned order.
4. In view of alternative remedy of arbitration, this Court does not find it appropriate to interfere.
5. Disposed of with liberty to avail alternative remedies as permissible by law.
6. Pending application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

April 27, 2026
Deepak DPA

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No