



120

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-6700-2026

Date of decision: 06.03.2026

Raksha Devi

....Petitioner

Versus

The pepsu Road Transport Corporation and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Vikas Singh, Senior Advocate with
Ms. Simanpreet Kaur Dhawan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)

1. The present civil writ petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of *mandamus* directing the respondents to fix the pay of the petitioner at par with her junior who had been promoted to the post of Sub Inspector by giving benefit of reservation or in the alternative directing the respondents to decide the legal notice/representation dated 04.01.2026 (Annexure P-5) of the petitioner. Further, prayer that all arrears of difference in salary may also be ordered to be paid to the petitioner along with interest @ 12% per annum.

2. Learned senior counsel for the petitioner submits that he would be satisfied if the legal notice (Annexure P-5) of the petitioner is decided by respondent No.2 by passing a speaking order in a time bound manner.

3. In view of the limited prayer made by learned senior counsel for the petitioner, respondent No.2 is directed to consider the legal notice (Annexure P-5) of the petitioner and pass a speaking order, after affording her an opportunity to be heard, within a period of 02 months from the date of

CWP-6700-2026

-2-

receiving a certified copy of this order. Further, the decision taken thereof shall be conveyed to the petitioner. Needless to say, if the petitioner is found entitled to the relief sought, the same shall be granted forthwith by respondent No.2.

4. Disposed of, accordingly.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

06.03.2026
Neha

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No