



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

118

**CWP-6578-2026 (O&M).
Date of Decision: 06.03.2026.**

Shalinder Kumar and others

....Petitioners.

VERSUS

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents.

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK MANCHANDA**

Present: Mr. Supinder Singh Sohi, Advocate for the petitioners.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the respondents have erroneously denied the regular pay scale to the petitioners. He also submits that similar issue is pending adjudication before the Supreme Court in **SLP (Civil) No.14124-14125-2012 and SLP (Civil) bearing Diary No.44844-2023.**

2. Issue notice to the respondents.

3. At the asking of the Court, Mr. Aftab Singh Khara, Senior Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and submits that the writ petitions preferred by other employees seeking the regular pay scale including **CWP-17064-2017**, titled as '**Ajay Kumar Singla and others Vs. State of Punjab and others**', were allowed by the Division Bench of this Court on 16.02.2023. Thereafter, another writ petition bearing **CWP-22232-2020** titled as '**Ankush Goyal and another Vs.**

State of Punjab and others', was also disposed of in same terms as the judgment in **Ajay Kumar Singla's** case (supra). The State of Punjab had preferred SLP (Civil) bearing Diary No.44844-2023 against the judgment of this Court in case of **Ankush Goyal** (supra) and the Supreme Court had issued notice and stayed the implementation of the impugned judgment. The order of the Supreme Court dated 08.12.2023 is reproduced hereunder.-

"1. Delay condoned.

2. Issue notice and tag with SLP (Civil) Nos.14124-14125 /2012.

3. Till further orders, operation and implementation of the impugned judgment shall remain stayed."

4. Learned State counsel submits that the State will abide by the outcome of the SLP in the Supreme Court and the case of the petitioners would also be considered in the light of the judgment of the Supreme Court.

5. Heard.

6. In view of the statement of learned State counsel, the grievance of the petitioners appears to have been substantially redressed. The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

7. All pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

(DEEPAK MANCHANDA)
JUDGE

06.03.2026

jitender

Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/ No

Whether Reportable : Yes/ No