



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

102

RSA-2064-1995 (O&M)

Date of decision:18.02.2026

JAI LAXMI RICE MILLS AND OTHERS

...APPELLANTS

VERSUS

KAMLESH KUMARI AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PARMOD GOYAL

Present: None for appellants No.1 to 4.

Mr. Shubham Saroha, Advocate
for legal representative of appellant No.5.

Mr. Rohit Verma, Advocate
for appellant No.6.

PARMOD GOYAL, J. (ORAL)

Appellants No.1 to 4 could not be served for want of correct address. No fresh address of appellants No.1 to 4 is available on record. Even learned counsel appearing for appellants No.5 and 6 shows their inability to furnish fresh address of appellants No.1 to 4.

2. Mr. Rohit Verma, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of appellant No.6 and filed his power of attorney, which is taken on record.

3. Learned counsel for appellant No.6 submits that appellant No.6 is also legal representative of appellant No.5, who is no more interested in prosecuting the present appeal.

4. Even none has put in appearance on behalf of respondents.

5. It seems that parties have lost interest in pursuing the present

appeal.

6. In such circumstances, this Court is left with no other option but to dismiss the present appeal for non-prosecution.

7. Accordingly, present appeal is dismissed for non-prosecution with liberty to parties to get the same revived on showing just cause.

8. Pending application(s), if any, stand disposed of.

18.02.2026

Sunil Chander

(PARMOD GOYAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

:

Yes/No

Whether reportable

:

Yes/No