



110 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-6734-2026 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 07.03.2026

Gurwinder Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and Another

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Mr. Arun Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Arun Jindal, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondent to decide his appeal dated 12.11.2025 in a time bound manner.

2. The petitioner joined Punjab Police Force as Constable on 06.06.2012. He was implicated in FIR No. 74 dated 25.07.2014 under sections 384, 120-B IPC read with Sections 7 and 8 of Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988. On account of aforesaid FIR, he was dismissed from service by invoking clause (b) of second proviso to Article 311(2) of the Constitution of India. He preferred appeal before IGP which came to be dismissed vide order dated 03.01.2025. He approached this Court by way of **CWP-31398-2025**. The petition was disposed of with liberty to approach Director General of Police, Punjab (**DGP**) in terms of Rule 16.32 of Punjab Police Rules, 1934. The petitioner has preferred petition before DGP which is still pending.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that grievance of the petitioner, at this stage, would be redressed, if respondent No.2-Director General of Police, Punjab is directed to decide his appeal in a time bound manner.
4. Mr. Arun Jindal, Addl. A.G., Punjab who on advance notice is present in Court on behalf of respondent-State, assures the Court that competent authority would consider and decide petitioner’s appeal within three months from today.
5. In the wake of statement of both sides, the petition stands *disposed of*.
6. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

07.03.2026
Prince Chawla

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No