

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

2026:PHHC:070109



(207)

CRM-M-11876-2026
Decided on: 06.05.2026
Uploaded on: 06.05.2026

Saurav Joshi @ Ambarsariya

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: None for the petitioner (s).

Mr. Hemant Aggarwal, DAG, Punjab.

Sumeet Goel (Oral):

1. Apprehending FIR No. 227 dated 25.10.2025 U/s 115(2), 118(1), 118(2),126(2), 351(1), 351(2), 191(3), 190 of BNS 2023 (323,324, 326,506,149,148 IPC), at P.S. Division No.6, Jalandhar; the petitioner has preferred this petition under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking pre-arrest bail.

2. On 02.04.2026, the following order was passed:

“Learned State counsel has submitted that the complainant stands informed in compliance of order dated 5.3.2026, earlier passed by this Court. However, none has caused appearance on behalf of the complainant.

Learned State counsel has filed short reply by way of affidavit of Sanjay Kumar, PPS, Assistant Commissioner of

Police, NDPS-cum Model Town, Jalandhar, in Court today. The same be kept on record. Copy thereof has been furnished to learned counsel for the petitioner.

Inter alia, contends that the petitioner is stated to be falsely implicated into the FIR in question; assuming arguendo, the prosecution version is taken to be correct, the petitioner is alleged to have given datar blow on the little finger of the complainant's left hand; the petitioner is a man aged 27 years & he is willing to join investigation and cooperate therein.

Put up on 6.5.2026.

The petitioner is directed to appear before the Investigating Officer on 7.4.2026 at 11:00 A.M. in concerned Police Station and join investigation. In the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing personal/surety bond(s) to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. As and when further called by Investigating Officer, the petitioner shall join the investigation. He shall abide by the condition(s) enumerated under Section 482(2) of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023."

3. Learned State counsel (on instructions) has submitted that the petitioner has joined investigation and he is not required for custodial interrogation.
4. Keeping in view the factual milieu of the case in hand, especially the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and he is not required for custodial interrogation, the petition is allowed and the order dated 02.04.2026 granting anticipatory bail to the petitioner is hereby made absolute, subject to the conditions as enumerated under Section 482(2) of BNSS.
5. This order should not be treated as "blanket" order. It will not be read granting petitioner indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be

confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

6. Liberty is reserved in favour of State/complainant to move for cancellation/recall of this order in case the petitioner violates any condition stipulated under Section 482(2) of BNSS or upon showing any other sufficient cause.

7. Needless to say that anything observed herein above shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

8. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

06.05.2026

Naveen

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :

Yes/No

Whether Reportable :

Yes/No