



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

132

CWP-6593-2026

Date of decision: 06.03.2026

Satinder Pal Singh and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present : Mr. Ishan Gupta, Advocate and
Ms. Muskan Gupta, Advocate for the petitioners.

NAMIT KUMAR, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed by the petitioners under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, seeking a writ of mandamus, directing the respondents to remove the existing pay anomalies for Assistant Professors (Doctors) recruited through direct appointment (i.e. petitioners-batch of 2023) after 17.07.2020, under the Department of Medical Education and Research-respondent and to grant them the pay scale of Rs.37400-67000+8600 grade pay in terms of the Punjab Medical Education (Group-A) Service Rules, 2016, equivalent to recruits prior to 17.02.2020, in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *State of Punjab v. Jagjit Singh : 2017(1) SCC 148*, and to extend the benefits of notification dated 05.01.2026 (Annexure P-6A) issued by the Government of Punjab, Department of Finance, along with interest, and to decide the representation dated 23.01.2026 (Annexure P-7).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that for

redressal of their grievances, the petitioners have also made representation dated 23.01.2026 (Annexure P-7) to the respondents which is still pending consideration. He further submits that at this stage, the petitioners would be satisfied, if appropriate directions are issued to the respondents to consider and decide the said representation, by passing a speaking order, in a time bound manner.

- 3. Notice of motion.
- 4. Mr. Surya Kumar, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents. He has no objection to the innocuous prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners.
- 5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record of the case.
- 6. Without going into the merits of the case at this stage, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 to decide representation dated 23.01.2026 (Annexure P-7) submitted by the petitioners expeditiously, by passing a speaking order after affording an opportunity of hearing to them, preferably within a period of 04 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. Further, the decision taken thereon shall be conveyed to the petitioners.

06.03.2026

Vinay

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No