



**119 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-6651-2026
Date of decision: 06.03.2026**

GURJINDER SINGH AND OTHERSPetitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERSRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. Raywant Kaushish, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Deepak Balyan, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (Oral):

The jurisdiction of this Court has been invoked under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of Certiorari, for quashing the Communication dated 10.02.2026 (Annexure P-5) to the extent whereby cadre of the Cashier, “to which the petitioners belong” has been included in the cadre of Clerks for bringing them within the purview of the Model Online Transfer Policy being illegal, arbitrary, unjust apart from being against the Haryana Transport Department (Group C) Haryana Roadways Service Rules, 1995 (Annexure P-1) and Notification dated 23.05.2025 (Annexure P-4).

2. Learned State counsel has furnished a copy of order dated 27.02.2026 regarding revision of Cadres under Model Online Transfer Policy to the learned counsel for the petitioners. He further submits that the communication dated 10.02.2026 (Annexure P-5) shall be revised, which addresses the grievance raised in the instant petition, i.e. qua Cashier to be



included as separate Cadre whereby they were brought within the purview the Model Online Transfer Policy.

- 3. Learned counsel for the petitioners does not press the instant petition.
- 4. In the light of above, nothing survives in the instant petition and the same is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

06.03.2026
monika

- 1. Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes /No
- 2. Whether reportable : Yes /No