

2026:PHHC:036429



-1-

**129 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR-2264-2026

Date of Decision: 10.03.2026

HARPREET SINGH SEKHON (SINCE DECEASED)

THROUGH LR

....Petitioner

Versus

RAJWANT KAUR AND ANOTHER

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PARMOD GOYAL

Present: Mr. Pulkit Sachdeva, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Parmod Goyal, J. (Oral)

The present revision revision has been preferred by petitioner under Article 227 of the Constitution of India being aggrieved by order dated 09.01.2026 (Annexure P-9) passed by learned Civil Judge (Junior Division), S.A.S. Nagar Mohali, Punjab, vide which application under Order VIII Rule 1 of CPC preferred by petitioner/plaintiff to strike off the defence of respondent/defendant No.2 was dismissed and written statement filed by respondents/defendants was taken on record.

2. In the present case, no doubt, there has been a delay on the part of respondent/defendant No.2 in filing the written statement, however, one final opportunity was granted on 05.01.2026 subject to cost of Rs.500/- and that opportunity was duly availed by respondent/defendant No.2 by payment of cost as well as by filing written statement on 09.01.2026.

3. In view of the fact that there is no challenge to order dated 05.01.2026, now it is not open for petitioner/plaintiff to challenge the order dated 09.01.2026. In view of these facts, there is no merit in the present petition. Revision petition is accordingly dismissed.
4. Pending application(s), if any, is/are disposed of accordingly.

10.03.2026
chiranjeev

(PARMOD GOYAL)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No